3 April 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director (Personnel)

FROM:

Office of General Counsel

SUBJECT:

Payment of Medical Expenses of Employees Assigned to Permanent-Duty Stations in Foreign Countries.

REFERENCE:

(a) Memorandum from AD/P to DD/A, subject: "Payment of Medical Expenses," re: Mr. 9 December 1952, enclosing a memorandum from Chief, Medical Staff, to AD/P, dated 23 December 1952, forwarded to OGC for study by transmittal slip dated 31 December 1952.

25X1A9a

(b) Memorandum from Deputy Chief, Medical Staff to DD/A, subject: "Medical Expenses of Mr. dated 15 January 1953, forwarded to OGC from Assistant DD/A by transmittal slip dated 22 January 1953.

25X1A9a

(c) Memorandum from Assistant Director for Operations to DD/A, subject: "Compensation Claim dated 25 February 1953, enclosing a memorandum from Chief, Medical Staff to DD/A, dated 6 March 1953, forwarded to OGC by transmittal slip dated 6 March 1953.

25X1A9a

(d) Claim for reimbursement for travel expenses of | dated 15 January wife of 1953, with covering memorandum to COA/DD/P from Deputy Chief, Medical Staff, dated 12 February 1953, forwarded to OGC for comment by transmittal slip dated 16 February 1953.

(e) Memorandum from Personnel Director to OGC, re claim for compensation and payment of Medical Expenses of Chief, Security Control Staff, dated 20 February 1953.

25X1A9a

(f) Memorandum from C/TSD/MO to AD/P, subject: "Claim for reimbursement for medical expenses for Mr. 25X1A9a dated 21 February 1953 forwarded to OGC 25X1A9a for concurrence or comments on payment by transmittal slip dated 10 March 1953.

(g) Memorandum from Medical Office, TSD to AD/P, subject: 25X1A9a "Claim for Hospital Expenses of Miss dated 4 March 1953, forwarded to OGC for opinion by transmittal slip dated 18 March 1953.

(h) Agency Regulation

25X1A

25X1A6a

Approved For Release 2017 CIA-RDP79-00434A000100120002-5 Security Information

SEGRET


COPY

Socurity Information

25X1A

1. The recommendations contained in reference (a), supra, in particular paragraph 5 thereof, together with the comments of the Chief, Medical Staff enclosed therewith, indicated a need for comprehensive review of the statutory authority upon which Agency Regulation founded. As further cases came to the attention of this office, illustrative types being cited as references (b) through (g), the need became even more apparent. In consequence, after discussions with the Personnel Division and Medical Office, the particular cases have been delayed pending completion of this paper. A short summary of the fact situations presented by the references cited above, is as follows:

25X9A5



25X1A

COPY

FOIAb5

Security Information

Basic Legislative Provisions

2. In order to resolve the varied questions presented by the subject cases, it is necessary to examine the pertinent portions of the legislation in which section Agency Regulations is grounded.

Security Information

COPI

"Section 941. The Secretary may, in the event of illness or injury requiring hospitalization of an officeror employee of the Service who is a citizen of the United States, not the result of vicious habits, intemperance, or misconduct on his part, incurred in the line of duty while such person is assigned abroad, pay for the cost of the treatment of such illness or injury at a suitable hospital or clinic."

Under the sub-heading "Transportation to Approved Hospitals," it is provided:

"Section 942(a) The Secretary may, in the event of illness or injury requiring the hospitalization of an officer or employee of the Service who is a citizen of the United States, not the result of vicious habits, intemperance, or misconduct on his part, incurred while on assignment abroad, in a locality where there does not exist a suitable hospital or clinic, pay the travel expenses of such officer or employee by whatever means he shall deem appropriate and without regard to the Standardized Government Travel Regulations and section 10 of the Act of March 3, 1933 (47 Stat. 1516; 5 U.S.C. 73b), to the nearest locality where a suitable hospital or clinic exists and on his recovery pay for the travel expenses of his return to his post of duty. If the officer or employee is too ill to travel unattended, the Secretary may also pay the travel expenses of an attendant."

(c) The general authority for the payment of medical expenses of all federal employees is contained in Federal Employees' Compensation Act, dated 7 September 1916, Public Law 267, 64th Congress, as amended, which is titled, "An Act to provide compensation for employees of the United States suffering injuries while in the performance of their duties. and for other purposes." It is there provided, in part:

"Section 1. That the United States shall pay compensation as hereinafter specified for the disability or death of an employee resulting from a personal injury sustained while in the performance of his duty, but no compensation shall be paid if the injury or death is caused by the willful misconduct of the employee or by the employee's intention to bring about the injury or death of himself or of another, or if intoxication of the injured employee is the proximate cause of the injury or death."

"Section 40. DEFINITIONS

(g) The term "injury" includes, in addition to injury by accident, any disease proximately caused by the employment.

Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIASRDIFT 15/00/454/40000100120002-5

Approved For Release 2007077: CIA-RDP79-00434A000100120002-5 Security Information

COPY

FOIAb5

(h) The term "compensation" includes the money allowance payable to an employee or his dependents and any other benefits paid for out of the compensation fund...."

"Section 7. OTHER PAYMENTS AND EXCLUSIVENESS OF REMEDY

(b) The liability of the United States or any of its instrumentalities under this Act or any extention thereof with respect to the injury or death of an employee shall be exclusive, and in place, of all other liability of the United States or such instrumentality to the employee, his legal representative, spouse, dependents, next of kin, and anyone otherwise entitled to recover damages from the United States or such instrumentality, on account of such injury or death, in any direct judicial proceedings in a civil action or in admiralty, or by proceedings, whether administrative or judicial, under any other workmen's compensation law or under any Federal tort liability statute..."

Legislative History of the Specific Authorities



4. In addition, the specific authorities granted, present two major questions:

SECRET

COPY

Security Information

FOIAb5

a. What is meant by the phrase "line of duty" contained in the State Does it equate to "performance of duty" stated in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act?

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

b. What is the relationship between the State and the authority granted by the Federal Employees' Compensation Act?

5. In order to answer these questions, we may not presume that the authority granted State is in derogation of the authority granted the Bureau of Employees' Compensation. A later law will not be construed as repealing an earlier law unless the two laws are in irreconcilable conflict or unless the later law covers the whole ground occupied by the earlier and is clearly intended to be a substitute for it. If both laws can be made effective by reasonable construction, such construction will be adopted. It is necessary, therefor, to look to the reports of Congress relating to congressional consideration of the premises.

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

It is necessary, therefore, to look to the record pertaining to the State Department legislation. Guidance on the intent of Congress relating to the Foreign Service medical provisions is found in House Report No. 2508, 79th Congress, 2nd Session, titled "Report of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, to accompany H. R. 6967," dated 12 July 1946. The house hearings on this act were held in executive session and not published. The Senate Foreign Relations Committee held no hearings, and we believe, issued no report.) It is there stated at page 141, as discussion on the proposed legislation, under the sub-heading "Expenses of Treatment":

"The principle occupational hazard of the Foreign Service is the disease prevailing at the many unhealthful and tropical posts. A large percentage of officers and employees stationed at such posts eventually succumb to malaria and dysentary, while considerable number fall ill of other endemic diseases peculiar to certain posts. These diseases are incurred directly in the line of duty. They almost invariably involve substantial medical expense and occasionally require hospitalization for protracted periods. Apart from disease, there have been a number of cases of break-down caused by overwork amidst difficult living conditions and by the isolation and strain of service abroad, particularly in recent years. It frequently happens that there exists at the post neither competent medical assistance nor adequate hospital facilities. At the present time it is a deplorable fact that there is almost nothing the Department can do, financially or otherwise, to assist Foreign Service personnel who fall ill of such diseases at their posts of

- 6 -

SECRET

SECRET

Security Information

duty. No medical officer or nurse is regularly attached to such establishments as the Embassy at Moscow or the Consulate at Lagos, unless there happens to be at the post a United States Public Health surgeon who can take time from his official duties under the immigration laws to diagnose illness among staff members; and he is not permitted to treat the illness. No funds are available to assist in the payment of such local medical or hospitalization facilities, if any. There is neither the authority nor the funds to pay for the transportation of the officer or employee to a nearby post where suitable medical or hospital assistance may exist.

"It is true that the United States Employees' Compensation Act of 1916, as amended, provides within certain limits for the reimbursement of medical and hospital expenses incurred as the result of illness or injury in the line of duty. The provisions of the act do not, however, cover more than a fraction of the problems besetting the Foreign Service. Again, the act does not relate to Foreign Service officers but only to employees (later amended). It offers no solution in the case of the transportation expenses of an officer or employee to another post for treatment or hospitalization. It does not afford the basis for providing a nurse at a post such as Moscow.

"The absence of the services and facilities authorized by section 941-944 of the new legislation has seriously obstructed the efficient operation of the Foreign Service and has occasionally subjected individual officers and employees to grave hard-ship and heavy expense. It is nothing less than good business on a dollar-and-cents basis for the Government to provide such assistance and facilities for Foreign Service personnel."

With reference to transportation costs the report states at page 142:

"Section 942 is closely linked with Section 941 and permits the Secretary to pay the transportation expenses of an efficer or employee of the Service to a suitable hospital or clinic. If it so happens that the nearest clinic is in the United States, as might be true in the border posts or those in the adjacent islands, the Secretary would be authorized to transport him to the United States...."

Construction

7. In general, the Bureau of Employees' Compensation recognizes as the types of cases coming within the purview of the Federal Employees' Compensation Act, (a) an injury sustained on the premises of employment during working hours, and (b) an illness contracted as a result of working under conditions made necessary by official duties. This coverage extends to federal employment any place in the world, and provides compensation and medical care for employees suffering injuries while in the performance of their duties.

COPY

8. When we relate the BEC concept of "performance of duty" to the statements contained in the report of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, paragraph 6, supra, it is seen that the Congressional intent in the use of the phrase "line of duty" contained in State and CIA medical authorities cannot be equated to a literal interpretation of the phrase "line of duty," which would be, "performance of duty." It is much broader than, and independent of the concept "performance of duty," contained in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act. In application, it encompasses "performance of duty" and extends to cover also illness and injury "not the result of vicious habits, intemperance, or misconduct on his part, incurred while on assignment abroad." The committee stated:

"It is true that the United States Employees' Compensation Act of 1916, as amended, provides within certain limits for the reimbursement of medical and hospital expenses incurred as the result of illness or injury in the line of duty. The provisions of the act do not, however, cover more than a fraction of the problems besetting the Foreign Service."

9. As to the second question, the relationship between the authroities granted specifically to State and those granted the Bureau of Employees' Compensation, we must first look to the nature of the authorities.

FOIAb5

a. The Federal Employees' Compensation Act provides,
(1) full medical care; (2) compensation for loss of wages
in lieu of the application of sick and annual leave);
(3) compensation for disability; and (4) compensation for
death; for civilian employees, including civilian officers
of the United States Government, who suffer illness or injury incurred in the performance of their duties.

FOIAb5

b. The State authorities under discussion relate only to payment for, (1) the cost of treatment; and (2) transportation expense incident thereto; "of illness or injury requiring hospitalization of an officer or...employee of the Agency....incurred in the line of duty while such person is assigned abroad".

FOIAb5

10. From the above, it is clear that the State authorities are supplemental to the authority granted by Congress in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act. They do not impinge upon the much wider scope of benefits granted all civilian employees, by the latter act.

FOIAb5

- a. The State acts do not authorize the payment of compensation for loss of wages, for disability, or for death; and
- b. For those injuries and illnesses that may be classed as incurred "in the performance of duty," the cost of treatment portion of the claim **SECRET** processed

COPI

Socurity Information

and paid by either CIA (or State) or the Bureau of Employees' Compensation.

State Department Regulations

- Il. In order to test the validity of many of the conclusions reached thus far, it is necessary to look to the regulations promulgated by the Secretary of State. In the administration of his authority under sections 941, et seq., of the Foreign Service Act of 1946, all payments made, and regulations pertaining thereto, have been under the careful scrutiny of the Comptroller General. The State medical program is purely overt and provision is made therefore in the yearly budget presented to Congress.
 - a. A general policy statement is found in Foreign Service Personnel Circular No. 2, subject, "Costs of Hospitalization and Travel to Hospitals", dated 21 March 1949. It is there stated, under the heading "Purpose":

"This instruction establishes policies and procedures governing the payment, in case of an illness or injury, of the cost of treatment at a suitable hospital or clinic, and of transportation to and from such a hospital or clinic where one does not exist in the locality. The primary purpose of this program is to relieve financial burdens placed upon the Foreign Service Personnel who suffer illnesses or injuries while on assignment abroad. The Department will not therefore approve trivial claims". (Emphasis supplied)

b. The foregoing Personnel Circular has since been incorporated in sections 683, et. seq., Part IV, "Personnel," Foreign Service Manual. Section 683.1, "Conditions of Eligibility", provides in pertinent part as follows:

5. ...

- b. The illness or injury incurred requires hospitalization as judged by the standards generally observed in entering a hospital in the United States as an inpatient.
- c. The illness or injury has been incurred or materially aggravated in the line of duty while assigned abroad. "Incurred in the line of duty" means incurred while assigned abroad or materially aggravated by Foreign Service duties in which the employee has engaged. "Assigned abroad" means while physically outside the continental limits of the United States pursuant to official orders.

- d. The illness or injury is not the result of vicious habits, intemperance, or misconduct on the part of the employee.
- hospital or clinic, i.e., an institution established for the treatment of a (sic) sick, a dispensary, laboratory, physician's office, or other reputable establishment where a sick person is observed or treated, which has adequate facilities for the treatment of the patient's particular illness or injury. Treatment received at an office building, private home, or elsewhere may be considered as "a suitable hospital or clinic" only when treatment received is such as normally received in a hospital.
- f. The illness or injury is not the result of negligence on the part of the employee, nor the result of taking an unwarranted risk, unless recovery is substantially retarded because the treatment is below the standard available in the United States.
- g. The medical and hospitalization expenses are directly related to the treatment of the illness or injury requiring hospitalization and are not excessive in relation to local prevailing prices for medical services and supplies. The rates charged by any available, suitable Government hospitals shall be used as a standard. Payment shall not be made for expenses incurred for personal convenience of the patient, such as telephone bills, extra services, or accommodations superior to what is normally required considering the nature and severity of the illness or injury.

No Coverage for Dependents

12. The Report of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, House of Representatives, in discussing the specific medical authorities granted in the Foreign Service Act made specific mention of the fact that,

has occasionally subjected individual officers and employees to grave hardship and heavy expense. It is nothing less than good business on a dollar-and-cents basis for the Government to provide such assistance and facilities for Foreign Service personnel." (Emphasis supplied)

Approved For Release 2001/08/27 - CIA-RDP79-00434A000100120002-5

Security Information

Approved For Release 2000 77: CIA-RDP79-00#34A000100120002-5 Security Information

COPY

FOIAb5

Although the problem of the care and transportation of dependents of employees who fall ill in foreign countries and thus place the individual employee in a position of grave hardship and heavy expense, was patently before the Congress, no provision was made for dependents. Congress in its wisdom granted the medical provisions in the state authority with respect to "an officer or employee of the Service who is a citizen of the United States."

13. Authority for the payment of medical expense of dependents of employees was included in the original request to Congress for the authorities contained in Public Law 110. However, that portion of the request which related to medical care of dependents was not granted. As noted, <u>supra</u>, congressional comment relating to the CIA medical authority for payment of medical expenses, referred directly to sections 941 and 942 of the Foreign Service Act, without discussion.



COPI

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

However, the authority stated in 9(a)(5)(C) and 5(a)(5)(A) that would allow the individual to go to the nearest hospital, receive treatment, or undergo an operation at his own expense (if he so desired, rather than receiving prior approval and so have his bills paid directly), may well be in sharpest conflict with security considerations and the continuance of a given Agency operation. In such an instance the Agency would be forced to direct his travel to and hospitalization at, such a place as would best serve the interest of the Agency. If such direction should result in placing an individual taken sick on in a hospital in it may or may the United States, rather than not be of personal benefit to the individual. However, it would not be grounded in the authority of 5(a)(5)(A), a personal travel entitlement of the employee, nor would it be in derogation thereof. It would be based in the residual authority of the Director to expend funds for objects of a confidential, extraordinary, or emergency nature. Accordingly, such payments may more appropriately be considered, not as reimbursement of medical expenses of an employee, but rather as expenditures required for the preservation of security. In such a case,

25X1A6a 25X1A6a after certification by the Medical Office that a particular course of treatment is required, it is the responsibility of the operating division and I&S to certify the security requirement for a proposed course of action, to the Director of Personnel for final approval.

- 18. The following examples are given as an illustration of some of the recognizable types of cases that may on occasion require the application of the special authorities outlined:
 - a. A career agent, under deep cover, is injured in a publicized accident of a common carrier, overseas, in the performance of his employment with this Agency. The medical office indicates complete recovery within a reasonable length of time. On proper determination that the classified procedures for submission to BEC are not sufficient protection, the case might be handled internally by CIA

FOIAb5

FOIAb5

- However, complete documentation, in general conformance with BEC procedures, should be effected for transmittal to the Bureau in accordance with the established procedures at such time as the project might be declassified, or, the individual might make claim for permanent disability arising out of the accident.
- b. A staff employee covert trainee is injured in the course of training. Upon recovery from the temporary disability, a broken arm or leg, etc., he is to be assigned on a sensitive project. Upon proper determination that the classified procedures for submission to BEC are not sufficient protection for intended utilization, the case might be processed in accordance with paragraph a.
- c. An employee has a mental breakdown, either stateside or overseas. If overseas, authority is contained in 5(a)(5)(C) to pay the expenses of treatment at the nearest suitable hospital in order to relieve the financial burden on the employee. However, in a case of this type, Agency interest and application of other authorities might be mandated, at least in those cases where it is evident that the patient must be placed in the hands of cleared medical persons to assist in salvaging a highly trained individual for future utilization by the Agency, or, in a hospital where the effect of possible disclosure of classified information would be to a large extent nullified.

Finding

19. In accordance with the foregoing, no legal objection is perceived to the approval of the Personnel Director for payment of the hospital expenses, if otherwise correct, of Mr. references

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2001/05/27 : CIA-RDP79-00434A000100120002-5 Security Information

COPY

(a), (b) and (c) respectively. References (a), (b), and (c) and attendant papers, are forwarded herewith. The claims cited in references (d) through (g) will be forwarded to the proper offices by separate memoranda.

25X1A9a

OGC/JGO:cd (1 April 1953)

Distribution

Orig - AD/P 2 - OGC

1 - DD/A

1 - Comptroller

1 - Director of Security

 $1 - \frac{\text{COA}}{\text{DD}}$

1 - Chief, MO